

SYED ABU TAHIR
PLAINTIFF PRO SE
420 Berry Street, Apt. 49
San Francisco, CA 94158
(415) 577-9714

~~RECEIVED~~

~~MAR 06 2019~~

~~SUSAN Y. SOONG
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTH DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND OFFICE~~

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

SYED ABU TAHIR,

Plaintiff Pro Se,

VS.

NANCY A. BERRYHILL, Acting
Commissioner of the Social Security
Administration,

Defendant.


IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, by and between the parties, through their respective counsel of record, that Plaintiff Pro Se shall have an extension of time of 60 days to file his Motion for Summary Judgment in response to Defendant's Answer. The current due date for Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment is Wednesday, March 13, 2019. The new due date for filing Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment, factoring in the fact that the 60th day falls on a Sunday, would be Monday, May 13, 2019. The Commissioner's Motion would then be due on or before Monday, June 10, 2019.

STIPULATION TO EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND PROPOSED ORDER; CASE NO. 4:18-CV-03675-HSG

1 This extension is necessary for Plaintiff to seek counsel and, if counsel is obtained,
2 for newly obtained counsel to familiarize herself/himself with the case. Plaintiff Pro Se
3 sincerely apologizes to the Court and to the Defendant for any inconvenience caused by this
4 delay. The parties further stipulate that the Court's Scheduling Order shall be modified
5 accordingly.

6
7 Dated: 3/14/19

8 Respectfully submitted,

9 
10 SYED ABU TAHIR

11 Plaintiff Pro Se

12 Dated: 03/01/2019

13 DAVID L. ANDDESSON
14 United States Attorney
15 DEBORAH STACHEL,
16 Regional Chief Counsel Region IX

17 By: /s/ Sharon Lahey

18 (*as authorized by e-mail on March 1, 2019)
19 SHARON LAHEY,
20 Assistant Regional Counsel

21 Attorneys for Defendant

22 ORDER:

23 APPROVED AND SO ORDERED

24 Dated: 3/12/2019

25 By: Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
26 THE HONORABLE HAYWOOD S.
27 GILLIAM, JR.
28 United States District Judge

29 STIPULATION TO EXTENSION OF TIME FOR PLAINTIFF TO FILE MOTION FOR
30 SUMMARY JUDGMENT, AND PROPOSED ORDER; CASE NO. 4:18-CV-03675-HSG